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## Summary

Propagation of spherical shock waves through self-gravitating polytropic gas spheres such as stars, caused by an instantaneous central explosion of finite energy $E$, is discussed theoretically. The problem is characterized by two lengths $R_{0}, L$, where

$$
R_{0}=\left(\frac{E}{4 \pi p_{0}}\right)^{1 / 3}, \quad L=\left(\frac{3 C_{0}^{2}}{2 \pi \rho_{0} G}\right)^{1 / 2},
$$

$p_{0}, \rho_{0}$ and $C_{0}$ are the values of pressure, density and velocity of sound at the centre of the equilibrium pre-explosion state, and $G$ is the constant of gravitation. $\quad R_{0}$ and $L$ are scales connected with the power of the explosion and the dimensions of the star respectively, and their ratio $A=R_{0} / L$ has a fundamental significance. A solution especially suitable in the case of $A=O(1)$ is developed in the form of power series in $R / R_{0}$ ( $R$ is the distance between the shock front and the centre) by a method similar to that used in previous papers by the present author (1953, 1954). An approximation to this solution is carried out up to the term in $R^{3}$. In particular, the velocity of the shock wave $U$ is found to be

$$
\frac{U}{C_{0}}=1.30\left(\frac{R}{R_{0}}\right)^{-3 / 2}\left\{1+0.41 A^{2}\left(\frac{R}{R_{0}}\right)^{2}+0.57\left(\frac{R}{R_{0}}\right)^{3}+\ldots\right\}
$$

for the case of $\gamma=1 \cdot 4$, where $\gamma$ is the ratio of specific heats.

## 1. Introduction

The purpose of the present paper is to investigate the propagation of spherical shock waves through gravitating gas spheres, such as stars, caused by an instantaneous central explosion of finite energy. The problem has previously been treated by Kopal (1954) and Sedov (1954) for the case of constant shock front strength in a special distribution of density in the pre-explosion state. The case of variable shock strength is discussed by Lidov (1955) and Rogers (1956). We consider the problem more generally, with variable shock strength and the pre-explosion state simply given by the equilibrium equation of self-gravitating polytropic gas spheres. In the case of uniform pre-explosion states, the author $(1953,1954)$ discussed the problem by a method of constructing the solution in power series of $U^{-2}$,

[^0]where $U$ is the propagation velocity of the shock front. A similar method is also applicable to the present problem, if we use $R$ (the distance between the shock front and the centre) in place of $U^{-2}$. We cannot now use $U^{-2}$ as an independent variable as was done in the previous papers, because $U$ is no longer necessarily a monotonically decreasing function of increasing $R$, but may even increase as a result of decreasing pressure, density, etc. in the equilibrium state, and would then cease to be single-valued. An approximation to this type of solution is carried out up to the term in $R^{3}$. The terms in $R^{0}$ and $R^{3}$ can be found from the results of the previous papers, since they contain no effect of non-uniformity in the pre-explosion state. Further, the term in $R$ vanishes automatically, and the effect of non-uniform pre-explosion distribution comes only from the term in $R^{2}$ in this approximation. Since $U^{-2}=O\left(R^{3}\right)$, this just corresponds to the second approximation in the previous paper (1954) including terms up to $U^{-2}$.

The present problem is characterized by two lengths $R_{0}, L$, where

$$
\begin{align*}
R_{0} & =\left(\frac{E}{4 \pi p_{0}}\right)^{1 / 3}  \tag{1}\\
L & =\left(\frac{3 C_{0}^{2}}{2 \pi \rho_{0} G}\right)^{1 / 2}, \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

and $E$ is the energy of explosion, $p_{0}, \rho_{0}$ and $C_{0}$ are values of pressure, density and velocity of sound at the centre in the equilibrium pre-explosion state, and $G$ is the constant of gravitation. $R_{0}$ represents a scale connected with the effective range of the power of the explosion and appears also in the previous papers (1953, 1954). $L$ represents, on the other hand, a length connected with the dimensions of the star. The ratio $A$ of these two lengths defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=R_{0} / L, \quad \text { or } \quad A^{2}=\left(\frac{\pi}{54}\right)^{1 / 3} \rho_{0} G C_{0}^{-2} p_{0}^{-2 / 3} E^{2 / 3} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

has a fundamental significance. The present theory is specially suitable for the case of $A=O(1)$, that is, the case in which the effective range of the explosion is of the same order of magnitude as the scale of the star. In the case of a weak explosion $(A \ll 1)$, the theory is still valid but reduces to that for the case of the uniform pre-explosion state; it then seems to be more suitable to consider the problem by Whitham's method (1953). In the case of $A \gg 1$, we have a very strong explosion, far bigger than the star itself, and it is clear that this phenomenon requires completely different formulation.

We formulate in $\S 2$ the fundamental system of equations given by equations of motion, conservation of the total explosion energy and boundary conditions of the shock front. The solution in power series of $R$ is developed in §3, and the term in $R^{2}$, which is the only new one required for the fourth approximation, is found by numerical integration in $\S 4$. In $\S 5$ and $\S 6$, miscellaneous results obtained from this fourth approximation to the solution are discussed.

## 2. Fundamental eqlations

The equations governing the spherically symmetrical flow of a polytropic gas of adiabatic index $\gamma$ under the influence of its own gravitation are (Kopal \& Lin 1951)

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{D u}{D t} & =-\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial r}-\frac{G m}{r^{2}},  \tag{4}\\
\frac{D \rho}{\overline{D t}} & =-\rho\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}+\frac{2 u}{r}\right),  \tag{5}\\
\frac{\partial m}{\partial r} & =4 \pi r^{2} \rho,  \tag{6}\\
\frac{D\left(p \rho^{-\gamma}\right)}{D t} & =0, \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

where $m(r, t), u(r, t), p(r, t)$ and $\rho(r, t)$ denote respectively the mass inside a sphere of radius $r$, the velocity, the pressure and the density at a distance $r$ from the origin at time $t$, and the expression $D / D t$ denotes $D / D t=\partial / \partial t+u \partial / \hat{c} r$. By means of cquation (5), equation (7) transforms into

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{D p}{D t}=-\gamma p\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}+\frac{2 u}{r}\right) . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the equilibrium state where $u=0, \partial / \partial t=0$, we put

$$
\begin{equation*}
p=p^{\prime}(R), \quad \rho=\rho^{\prime}(R), \quad m=m^{\prime}(R), \quad r=R ; \tag{}
\end{equation*}
$$

thus equations (4), (6) and (7) are reduced to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\rho^{\prime}} \frac{d p^{\prime}}{d R}+\frac{G m^{\prime}}{R^{2}}=0, \quad \frac{d m^{\prime}}{d R}=4 \pi R^{2} \rho^{\prime}, \quad p^{\prime} \rho^{\prime-\gamma}=p_{0} \rho_{0}^{-\gamma} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

while equations (5) and (8) give merely the relations $\rho=\rho^{\prime}$ and $p=p^{\prime}$ in this case.

For the radius of the shock front it will be convenient to use the same symbol $R$ as above. This $R$ is a function of $t$ and connected with the propagation velocity at the front $U$ by the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
d R / d t=U \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

At the shock front ( $r=R$ ), we have the following Rankine-Hugoniot conditions:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{u}{U}=\frac{2}{\gamma+1}\left\{1-\left(\frac{C}{U}\right)^{2}\right\}, \quad \underset{p^{\prime}}{\gamma}=\frac{2 \gamma}{\gamma+1}\left(\frac{U}{C}\right)^{2}-\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} \\
& \frac{\rho}{\rho^{\prime}}=\frac{\gamma+1}{\gamma-1}\left\{1+\frac{2}{\gamma-1}\left(\frac{C}{\bar{U}}\right)^{2}\right\}^{-1}, \quad m=m^{\prime} \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

where $C$ is the sound velocity in the equilibrium state and is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
C=\left(\frac{\gamma p^{\prime}}{\rho^{\prime}}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since we consider the case of an instantaneous explosion of energy $E$, the equation of energy may be written

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{R}\left(\frac{1}{2} u^{2}+\frac{1}{\gamma-1} \frac{p}{\rho}-\frac{G m}{r}\right) \rho 4 \pi r^{2} d r-\int_{0}^{R}\left(\frac{1}{\gamma-1} \frac{p^{\prime}}{\rho^{\prime}}-\frac{G m^{\prime}}{R}\right) \rho^{\prime} 4 \pi R^{2} d R=E \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

In place of $r, t$, we now introduce new independent variables $x, z$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{r}{R}=x, \quad \frac{R}{R_{0}}=z \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and express the quantities $u, p, \rho, m ; p^{\prime}, \rho^{\prime}, m^{\prime}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{u}{U}=f(x, z), \frac{p}{p_{0}}=P\left(\frac{U}{C}\right)^{2} g(x, z),  \tag{16}\\
& \frac{\rho}{\rho_{0}}=D h(x, z), \quad \frac{m}{m_{0}}=M i(x, z),  \tag{17}\\
& p_{0}=P(z), \quad \frac{\rho^{\prime}}{\rho_{0}}=D(z), \quad \frac{m^{\prime}}{m_{0}}=M(z), \quad m_{0}=\frac{ \pm}{3} \pi \rho_{0} R_{0}^{3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $f, g, h, i ; P, D, M$ are non-dimensional new variables. Using (15), (16) and (17), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial r}=\frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \quad \frac{D}{D t}=\frac{U}{R}\left\{(f-x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+z \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right\} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now substitute (15), (16), (17) and (18) into the fundamental equations (4), (5), (6), (8), (10), (12) and (14). Then (4), (5), (6) and (8) become

$$
\begin{gather*}
(f-x) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}+z \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}+\frac{z}{U} \frac{d U}{d z} f=-\frac{1}{\gamma h} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x}-2 A^{2} \frac{C_{0}^{2}}{U^{2}} \frac{M}{z} \frac{i}{x^{2}},  \tag{19}\\
(f-x) \frac{1}{h} \frac{\partial h}{\partial x}+\frac{z}{h} \frac{\partial h}{\partial z}+\frac{z}{D} \frac{d D}{d z}=-\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}-\frac{2 f}{x}  \tag{20}\\
\frac{\partial i}{\partial x}=3 z^{3} \frac{D}{M} x^{2} h  \tag{21}\\
(f-x) \frac{1}{g} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x}+\frac{z}{g} \frac{\partial g}{\partial z}+\frac{z}{D} \frac{d D}{d z}+\frac{2 z}{\bar{U}} \frac{d U}{d z}=-\gamma\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}+\frac{2 f}{x}\right), \tag{22}
\end{gather*}
$$

while equations (10) become

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{D} \frac{d P}{d z}+\frac{2 \gamma A^{2}}{z^{2}} M=0, \quad \frac{d M}{d z}=3 z^{2} D, \quad P D^{-\gamma}=1 \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have used (1), (2) and (3). The boundary conditions (12) become

$$
\begin{gather*}
f(1, z)=\frac{2}{\gamma+1}\left\{1-\left(\frac{C}{U}\right)^{2}\right\}, \quad g(1, z)=\frac{2 \gamma}{\gamma+1}-\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1}\left(\frac{C}{U}\right)^{2}, \\
h(1, z)=\frac{\gamma+1}{\gamma-1}\left\{1+\frac{2}{\gamma-1}\left(\frac{C}{U}\right)^{2}\right\}^{-1}, \quad i(1, z)=1, \tag{24}
\end{gather*}
$$

while the energy equation (14) gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{U^{2}}{C_{0}^{2}} D z^{3} \int_{0}^{1}\left(\frac{\gamma}{2} h f^{2}+\frac{g}{\gamma-1}\right) x^{2} d x-2 \gamma A^{2} M D z^{2} \int_{0}^{1} h i x d x- \\
&-\int_{0}^{z}\left(\frac{P}{\gamma-1}-2 \gamma A^{2} \frac{M D}{z}\right) z^{2} d z=1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

If we write $\quad \int_{0}^{1}\left(\frac{\gamma}{2} h f^{2}+\frac{g}{\gamma-1}\right) x^{2} d x=J, \quad \int_{0}^{1} h i x d x=K$,

$$
\int_{0}^{z}\left(\frac{P}{\gamma-1}-2 \gamma A^{2} \frac{M D}{z}\right) z^{2} d z=I
$$

$\left.\begin{array}{ll}\text { our equation is reduced to } & \frac{U^{2}}{C_{0}^{2}} D z^{3} J-2 \gamma A^{2} M D z^{2} K-I=1, \\ \text { or } & \frac{C_{0}^{2}}{U^{2}}=z^{3} J D\left(1+I+2 \gamma A^{2} M D z^{2} K\right)^{-1} .\end{array}\right\}$

## 3. The solution in power series of $z$

Following the method used in the earlier paper (1953), we construct the solution in power series of $z$. At first we find the solution for the equilibrium state expressed by (23). Eliminating $M$ and $P$ from (23), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d z}\left(z^{2} D^{\gamma-2} \frac{d D}{d z}\right)+6 A^{2} z^{2} D=0 \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=1-A^{2} z^{2}+\frac{13-5 \gamma}{10} A^{4} z^{4}+\ldots \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

and then, from (23) and (13), $P, M$ and $C$ are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
P & =1-\gamma A^{2} z^{2}+\frac{4}{5} \gamma A^{4} z^{4}+\ldots  \tag{28}\\
M & =z^{3}\left\{1-\frac{3}{5} A^{2} z^{2}+\frac{39-15 \gamma}{70} A^{4} z^{4}+\ldots\right\}  \tag{29}\\
\frac{C^{2}}{C_{0}^{2}} & =1-(\gamma-1) A^{2} z^{2}+\frac{3}{10}(\gamma-1) A^{4} z^{4}+\ldots \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

In figure 1 , the expression (27) for the case $\gamma=1.4$ is compared with the exact solution of (26) which was given by Eddington (1930).

We now assume

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
f(x, z)=f_{0}+z f_{1}+z^{2} f_{2}+z^{3} f_{3}+\ldots, \\
g(x, z)=g_{0}+z g_{1}+z^{2} g_{2}+z^{3} g_{3}+\ldots \\
h(x, z)=h_{0}+z h_{1}+z^{2} h_{2}+z^{3} h_{3}+\ldots  \tag{31}\\
i(x, z)=i_{0}+z i_{1}+z^{2} i_{2}+z^{3} i_{3}+\ldots,
\end{array}\right\}
$$

where $f_{\nu}(x), g_{\nu}(x), h_{\nu}(x), i_{\nu}(x)(\nu=0,1,2, \ldots)$ are unknown functions to be determined.

We insert expressions (27), (28), (29), (30) and (31) in equation (25), and then obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
J=J_{0}\left(1+z \alpha_{1}+z^{2} \alpha_{2}+z^{3} \alpha_{3}+\ldots\right) \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
J_{0}= & \int_{0}^{1}\left(\frac{\gamma}{2} h_{0} f_{0}^{2}+\frac{g_{0}}{\gamma-1}\right) x^{2} d x, \\
\alpha_{1} J_{0}= & \int_{0}^{1}\left(\frac{\gamma}{2} h_{1} f_{0}^{2}+\gamma f_{0} h_{0} f_{1}+\frac{g_{1}}{\gamma-1}\right) x^{2} d x, \\
\alpha_{2} J_{0}= & \int_{0}^{1}\left\{\frac{\gamma}{2} h_{2} f_{0}^{2}+\gamma f_{0} h_{0} f_{2}+\frac{\gamma}{2} f_{1}\left(h_{0} f_{1}+2 f_{0} h_{1}\right)+\frac{g_{2}}{\gamma-1}\right\} x^{2} d x,  \tag{33}\\
\alpha_{3} J_{0}= & \int_{0}^{1}\left\{\frac{\gamma}{2} h_{3} f_{0}^{2}+\gamma f_{0} h_{0} f_{3}+\frac{\gamma}{2} f_{1}\left(h_{1} f_{1}+2 f_{0} h_{2}\right)+\right. \\
& \left.+\gamma\left(f_{0} f_{2} h_{1}+h_{0} f_{1} f_{2}\right)+\frac{g_{3}}{\gamma-1}\right\} x^{2} d x,
\end{align*}
$$



Figure 1. Comparison of approximate density distributions for $\gamma=1.4$ with exact one. Curve 1, $1-(A z)^{2}$; curve $2,1-(A z)^{2}+0 \cdot 6(A z)^{4}$; curve 3 , exact solution.
and

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=K_{0}\left(1+z \beta_{1}+z^{2} \beta_{2}+z^{3} \beta_{3}+\ldots\right) \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
K_{0} & =\int_{0}^{1} h_{0} i_{0} x d x \\
\beta_{1} K_{0} & =\int_{0}^{1}\left(h_{1} i_{0}+h_{0} i_{1}\right) x d x  \tag{35}\\
\beta_{2} K_{0} & =\int_{0}^{1}\left(h_{2} i_{0}+h_{0} i_{2}+h_{1} i_{1}\right) x d x
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gather*}
M D=z^{3}\left(1-\frac{8}{5} A^{2} z^{2}+\ldots\right)  \tag{36}\\
I=\frac{z^{3}}{\gamma-1}\left\{\frac{1}{3}-\frac{2 \gamma-1}{5} \gamma A^{2} z^{2}+\frac{4 \gamma}{35}(4 \gamma-3) A^{4} z^{4}+\ldots\right\}, \tag{37}
\end{gather*}
$$

Using (32), (33), (34), (35), (36) and (37), we finally get from (25)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{C_{0}^{2}}{U^{2}}=J_{0} z^{3}\left\{1+\alpha_{1} z+\left(\alpha_{2}-A^{2}\right) z^{2}+\left(\alpha_{3}-\frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{\gamma-1}-\alpha_{1} A^{2}\right) z^{3}+\ldots\right\} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{z}{\bar{U}} \frac{d U}{d z}= & -\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{1} z-\left(\alpha_{2}-A^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{1}^{2}\right) z^{2}-\left\{\frac{3}{2}\left(\alpha_{3}-\frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{\gamma-1}\right)+\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{1}\left(\alpha_{1}^{2}-3 \alpha_{2}\right)\right\} z^{3}+\ldots, \\
= & -\frac{3}{2}\left(1+\delta_{1} z+\delta_{2} z^{2}+\delta_{3} z^{3}+\ldots\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{1}=\frac{1}{3} \alpha_{1}, \quad \delta_{2}=\frac{2}{3}\left(\alpha_{2}-A^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{1}^{2}\right), \quad \delta_{3}=\alpha_{3}-\frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{\gamma-1}+\frac{1}{3} \alpha_{1}\left(\alpha_{1}^{2}-3 \alpha_{2}\right) . \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (38) gives the relation between the propagation velocity $U$ and the position $R=R_{0} z$ of the shock front, if we know the values of constants $J_{0}, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots$ for a given $A$.

Now, substituting (27), (28), (29), (30), (31), (38) and (39) into (19), (20), (21) and (22), and comparing the coefficients of the same powers of $\boldsymbol{z}$ on both sides of (19), (20), (21) and (22), we get the following system of equations:
for the term independant of $z$

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
\left(f_{0}-x\right) f_{0}^{\prime}-\frac{3}{2} f_{0}=-\frac{g_{0}^{\prime}}{\gamma h_{0}}  \tag{40}\\
\left(f_{0}-x\right) \frac{h_{0}^{\prime}}{h_{0}}=-f_{0}^{\prime}-\frac{2}{x} f_{0} \\
\left(f_{0}-x\right) \frac{g_{0}^{\prime}}{g_{0}}=3-\frac{2 \gamma}{x} f_{0}-\gamma f_{0}^{\prime} \\
i_{0}^{\prime}=3 x^{2} h_{0}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

for the first power of $z$

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\left(f_{0}-x\right) f_{1}^{\prime}+\frac{1}{\gamma h_{0}} g_{1}^{\prime} & =\left(\frac{1}{2}-f_{0}^{\prime}\right) f_{1}+\frac{g_{0}^{\prime}}{\gamma h_{0}^{2}} h_{1}+\frac{3}{2} f_{0} \delta_{1} \\
\left(f_{0}-x\right)\left(\frac{h_{1}}{h_{0}}\right)^{\prime}+f_{1}^{\prime} & =-\left(\frac{h_{0}^{\prime}}{h_{0}}+\frac{2}{x}\right) f_{1}-\frac{h_{1}}{h_{0}},  \tag{41}\\
\left(f_{0}-x\right)\left(\frac{g_{1}}{g_{0}}\right)^{\prime}+\gamma f^{\prime} & =-\left(\frac{g_{0}^{\prime}}{g_{0}}+\frac{2 \gamma}{x}\right) f_{1}-\frac{g_{1}}{g_{0}}+3 \delta_{1} \\
i_{1}^{\prime} & =3 x^{2} h_{1}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

for the second power of $z$

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(f_{0}-x\right) f_{2}^{\prime}+\frac{1}{\gamma h_{0}} g_{2}^{\prime}= & -\left(\frac{1}{2}+f_{0}\right) f_{2}+\frac{g_{0}^{\prime}}{\gamma h_{0}^{2}} h_{2}+\frac{3}{2} f_{0} \delta_{2}+ \\
& +f_{1}\left(-f_{1}^{\prime}+\frac{3}{2} \delta_{1}\right)+\frac{h_{1}}{\gamma h_{0}^{2}}\left(g_{1}^{\prime}-g_{0}^{\prime} \frac{h_{1}}{h_{0}}\right), \\
\left(f_{0}-x\right)\left(\frac{h_{2}}{h_{0}}\right)^{\prime}+f_{2}^{\prime}= & -\left(\frac{h_{0}^{\prime}}{h_{0}}+\frac{2}{x}\right) f_{2}-2 \frac{h_{2}}{h_{0}}+2 A^{2}+ \\
& +\left(f_{0}-x\right) \frac{h_{1}}{h_{0}}\left(\frac{h_{1}}{h_{0}}\right)^{\prime}-\left(\frac{h_{1}}{h_{0}}\right)^{\prime} f_{1}+\left(\frac{h_{1}}{h_{0}}\right)^{2},  \tag{42}\\
\left(f_{0}-x\right)\left(\frac{g_{2}}{g_{0}}\right)^{\prime}+\gamma f_{2}^{\prime}= & -\left(\frac{g_{0}^{\prime}}{g_{0}}+\frac{2 \gamma}{x}\right) f_{2}-2 \frac{g_{2}}{g_{0}}+2 A^{2}+3 \delta_{2}+ \\
& +\left(f_{0}-x\right) \frac{g_{1}}{g_{0}}\left(\frac{g_{1}}{g_{0}}\right)^{\prime}-\left(\frac{g_{1}}{g_{0}}\right)^{\prime} f_{1}+\left(\frac{g_{1}}{g_{0}}\right)^{2}, \\
i_{2}^{\prime}= & 3 x^{2}\left(h_{2}-\frac{2}{\partial} A^{2} h_{0}\right) ;
\end{align*}
$$

for the third power of $z$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \begin{array}{r}
\left(f_{0}-x\right) f_{3}^{\prime}+\frac{1}{\gamma h_{0}} g_{3}^{\prime}=-\left(\frac{3}{2}+f_{0}^{\prime}\right) f_{3}+\frac{g_{0}^{\prime}}{\gamma h_{0}^{2}} h_{3}+\frac{3}{2} \delta_{3} f_{0}+ \\
+f_{2}\left(-f_{1}^{\prime}+\frac{3}{2} \delta_{1}\right)+f_{1}\left(-f_{2}^{\prime}+\frac{3}{2} \delta_{2}\right)+ \\
+\frac{h_{1}}{\gamma h_{0}^{2}}\left(g_{2}^{\prime}-g_{1}^{\prime} \frac{h_{1}}{h_{0}}+g_{0}^{\prime} \frac{h_{1}^{2}}{h_{0}^{2}}\right)+ \\
\\
\quad+\frac{h_{2}}{\gamma h_{0}^{2}}\left(g_{1}^{\prime}-2 g_{0}^{\prime} \frac{h_{1}}{h_{0}}\right), \\
\left(f_{0}-x\right)\left(\frac{h_{3}}{h_{0}}\right)^{\prime}+f_{3}^{\prime}=-\left(\frac{h_{0}^{\prime}}{h_{0}}+\frac{2}{x}\right) f_{3}-3 \frac{h_{3}}{h_{0}}+ \\
+\left(f_{0}-x\right)\left\{\frac{h_{1}}{h_{0}}\left(\frac{h_{2}}{h_{0}}\right)^{\prime}-\left(\frac{h_{1}^{2}}{h_{0}^{2}}-\frac{h_{2}}{h_{0}}\right)\left(\frac{h_{1}}{h_{0}}\right)^{\prime}\right\}-f_{1}\left\{\left(\frac{h_{2}}{h_{0}}\right)^{\prime}-\frac{h_{1}}{h_{0}}\left(\frac{h_{1}}{h_{0}}\right)^{\prime}\right\}- \\
-f_{2}\left(\frac{h_{1}}{h_{0}}\right)^{\prime}-\frac{h_{1}}{h_{0}}\left(\frac{h_{1}^{2}}{h_{0}^{2}}-3 \frac{h_{2}}{h_{0}}\right), \\
\left(f_{0}-x\right)\left(\frac{g_{3}}{g_{0}}\right)+\gamma f_{3}^{\prime}=-\left(\frac{g_{0}^{\prime}}{g_{0}}+\frac{2 \gamma}{x}\right) f_{3}-3 \frac{g_{3}}{g_{0}}+3 \delta_{3}+ \\
+\left(f_{0}-x\right)\left\{\left\{\frac{g_{1}}{g_{0}}\left(\frac{g_{2}}{g_{0}}\right)^{\prime}-\left(\frac{g_{1}^{2}}{g_{0}^{2}}-\frac{g_{2}}{g_{0}}\right)\left(\frac{g_{1}}{g_{0}}\right)^{\prime}\right\}-f_{1}\left(\frac{g_{2}}{g_{0}}\right)^{\prime}-\frac{g_{1}}{g_{0}}\left(\frac{g_{1}}{g_{0}}\right)^{\prime}\right\}- \\
-f_{2}\left(\frac{g_{1}}{g_{0}}\right)^{\prime}-\frac{g_{1}}{g_{0}}\left(\frac{g_{1}^{2}}{g_{0}^{2}}-3 \frac{g_{2}}{g_{0}}\right),
\end{array} \\
& i_{3}^{\prime}=3 x^{2}\left(h_{3}-\frac{2}{5} A^{2} h_{1}\right) ;
\end{align*}
$$

where the primes (') denote differentations with respect to $x$.
From the boundary conditions (24), we have in a similar manner

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{0}(1)=\frac{2}{\gamma+1}, \quad g_{0}(1)=\frac{2 \gamma}{\gamma+1}, \quad h_{0}(1)=\frac{\gamma+1}{\gamma-1}, \quad i_{0}(1)=1, \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{1}(1)=0, \quad g_{1}(1)=0, \quad h_{1}(1)=0, \quad i_{1}(1)=0^{\prime},  \tag{45}\\
& f_{2}(1)=0, \quad g_{2}(1)=0, \quad h_{2}(1)=0, \quad i_{2}(1)=0,  \tag{46}\\
& f_{3}(1)=-\frac{2}{\gamma+1} J_{0}, \quad g_{3}(1)=-\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} J_{0}, \quad h_{3}(1)=-\frac{2(\gamma+1)}{(\gamma-1)^{2}} J_{0}, \quad i_{3}(1)=0 . \tag{47}
\end{align*}
$$

The first step in the solution of the problem is to solve the system of differential equations (40) about $f_{0}(x), g_{0}(x), h_{0}(x), i_{0}(x)$ with the boundary conditions (44), and to insert this solution in the first equation of (33). Then we have the first approximation to the solution in the form

$$
\begin{gather*}
u=U f_{0}(x), \quad p=p_{0}\left(\frac{U}{C_{0}}\right)^{2} g_{0}(x), \quad \rho=\rho_{0} h_{0}(x), \quad m=m_{0} i_{0}(x) \\
\left(\frac{C_{0}}{U}\right)^{2}=J_{0} z^{3} . \tag{48}
\end{gather*}
$$

Since $i_{0}$ enters only in the fourth equation in (40), we can find $f_{0}, g_{0}, h_{0}$ separately from the first three equations. It is clear that this procedure is precisely the same as that of the first approximation in the case of a uniform pre-explosion state, which was first developed by Taylor (1950). The solutions $f_{0}(x), g_{0}(x), h_{0}(x), J_{0}$ were, in the previous paper (1953), written as $f^{(0)}(x), g^{(0)}(x), h^{(0)}(x), J_{0}$. The value of $J_{0}$ for $\gamma=1.4$ is 0.596 , and some values of $f_{0}, g_{0}$ and $h_{0}$ are shown in table 1.

The second step is to find the functions $f_{1}(x), g_{1}(x), h_{1}(x), i_{1}(x)$ and a constant $\delta_{1}$. For this purpose we use the system of differential equations (41), with the boundary conditions (45) and the integral condition (the second equation of (33)) with the relation $\delta_{1}=\frac{1}{3} \alpha_{1}$ from (39). The equations (41) and the integral condition are, however, linear and homogeneous, about $f_{1}, g_{1}, h_{1}, i_{1}, \delta_{1}$, and so we have simply

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{1}(x) \equiv 0, \quad g_{1}(x) \equiv 0, \quad h_{1}(x) \equiv 0, \quad i_{1}(x) \equiv 0, \quad \delta_{1}=\alpha_{1} \equiv 0 . \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (49) lead to a simplification of the equation for the third step, and we get from (42) and (46)

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(f_{0}-x\right) f_{2}^{\prime}+\frac{1}{\gamma h_{0}} g_{2}^{\prime}=-\left(\frac{1}{2}+f_{0}^{\prime}\right) f_{2}+\frac{g_{0}^{\prime}}{\gamma h_{0}^{2}} h_{2}+\frac{3}{2} f_{0} \delta_{2}, \\
\left(f_{0}-x\right)\left(\frac{h_{2}}{h_{0}}\right)^{\prime}+f_{2}^{\prime}=-\left(\frac{h_{0}^{\prime}}{h_{0}}+\frac{2}{x}\right) f_{2}-2 \frac{h_{2}}{h_{0}}+2 A^{2}, \\
\left(f_{0}-x\right)\left(\frac{g_{2}}{g_{0}}\right)^{\prime}+\gamma f_{2}^{\prime}=-\left(\frac{g_{0}^{\prime}}{g_{0}}+\frac{2 \gamma}{x}\right) f_{2}-2 \frac{g_{2}}{g_{0}}+2 A^{2}+3 \delta_{2},  \tag{50}\\
i_{2}^{\prime}=3 x^{2}\left(h_{2}-\frac{2}{5} A^{2} h_{0}\right), \\
f_{2}(1)=g_{2}(1)=h_{2}(1)=i_{2}(1)=0,
\end{gather*}
$$

and from (33) and (39)

$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha_{2} J_{0} & =\int_{0}^{1}\left(\frac{1}{2} \gamma h_{2} f_{0}^{2}+\gamma f_{0} h_{0} f_{2}+\frac{1}{\gamma-1} g_{2}\right) x^{2} d x,  \tag{51}\\
\delta_{2} & =\frac{2}{3}\left(\alpha_{2}-A^{2}\right) . \tag{52}
\end{align*}
$$

By means of (50) and (52), we can find the functions $f_{2}(x), g_{2}(x), h_{2}(x), i_{2}(x)$ and the constants $\alpha_{2}, \delta_{2}$. The solution is given below in §4.

Equations for the fourth step are also simplified by means of (49), and we get from (43) and (47)

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
\left(f_{0}-x\right) f_{3}^{\prime}+\frac{1}{\gamma h_{0}} g_{3}^{\prime}=-\left(\frac{3}{2}+f_{0}^{\prime}\right) f_{3}+\frac{g_{0}^{\prime}}{\gamma h_{0}^{2}} h_{3}+\frac{3}{2} \delta_{3} f_{0}, \\
\left(f_{0}-x\right)\left(\frac{h_{3}}{h_{0}}\right)^{\prime}+f_{3}^{\prime}=-\left(\frac{h_{0}^{\prime}}{h_{0}}+\frac{2}{x}\right) f_{3}-3 \frac{h_{3}}{h_{0}}, \\
\left(f_{0}-x\right)\left(\frac{g_{3}}{g_{0}}\right)^{\prime}+\gamma f_{3}^{\prime}=-\left(\frac{g_{0}^{\prime}}{g_{0}}+\frac{2 \gamma}{x}\right) f_{3}-3 \frac{g_{3}}{g_{0}}+3 \delta_{3},  \tag{53}\\
i_{3}^{\prime}=3 x^{2} h_{3}, \\
f_{3}(1)=-\frac{2}{\gamma+1} J_{0}, \quad g_{3}(1)=-\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} J_{0}, \quad h_{3}(1)=-\frac{2(\gamma+1)}{(\gamma-1)^{2}} J_{0}, \\
i_{3}(1)=0,
\end{array}\right\}
$$

and from (33) and (39)

$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha_{3} J_{0} & =\int_{0}^{1}\left(\frac{\gamma}{2} h_{3} f_{0}^{2}+\gamma h_{0} f_{0} f_{3}+\frac{1}{\gamma-1} g_{3}\right) x^{2} d x, \\
\delta_{3} & =\alpha_{3}-\frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{\gamma-1} . \tag{54}
\end{align*}
$$

Now we put

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{3}=J_{0}\left(x-f_{0}\right) \phi_{3}, \quad g_{3}=J_{0} g_{0} \psi_{3}, \quad h_{3}=J_{0} h_{0} \chi_{3}, \quad \delta_{3}=J_{0} \lambda_{3} . \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we get

$$
\begin{align*}
&-\left(x-f_{0}\right) \phi_{3}^{\prime}+\frac{g_{0}}{\gamma h_{0}\left(x-f_{0}\right)} \psi_{3}^{\prime}=-\left(2 f_{0}^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2}\right) \phi_{3}+\left(f_{0}^{\prime}+\frac{3}{2} \frac{f_{0}}{x-f_{0}}\right) \times \\
& \times\left(\chi_{3}-\psi_{3}\right)+\frac{3}{2} \frac{f_{0}}{x-f_{0}} \lambda_{3}, \\
&\left(x-f_{0}\right)\left(-\phi_{3}^{\prime}+\chi_{3}^{\prime}\right)= 3\left(\phi_{3}+\chi_{3}\right), \\
&\left(x-f_{0}\right)\left(-\gamma \phi_{3}^{\prime}+\psi_{3}^{\prime}\right)= 3\left\{(\gamma-1) \phi_{3}+\psi_{3}-\lambda_{3}\right\},  \tag{56}\\
& i_{3}^{\prime}=3 J_{0} x^{2} h_{0} \chi_{3}, \\
& \phi_{3}(1)=-\frac{2}{\gamma-1}, \psi_{3}(1)=-\frac{\gamma-1}{2 \gamma}, \chi_{3}(1)=-\frac{2}{\gamma-1}, i_{3}(1)=0, \\
& \int_{0}^{1}\left\{\gamma f_{0} h_{0}\left(x-f_{0}\right) \phi_{3}+\frac{g_{0}}{\gamma-1} \psi_{3}+\frac{\gamma}{2} f_{0}^{2} h_{0} \chi_{3}\right\} x^{2} d x=\lambda_{3} J_{0}+\frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{\gamma-1},
\end{align*}
$$

where we have used equations (40) for $f_{0}, g_{0}, h_{0}$.

By comparing this system of equations (56) for $\phi_{3}, \psi_{3}, \chi_{3}, i_{3}, \lambda_{3}$ with that for $\phi, \psi, \chi, \lambda$, expressed by (2), (3), (4), (5) and (6) in the previous paper (1954), we can find that in the case of $\alpha=2$ (spherical wave) they are precisely the same except for the equation for $i_{3}$. Then we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{3}(x) \equiv \phi(x), \quad \psi_{3}(x) \equiv \psi(x), \quad \chi_{3}(x) \equiv \chi(x), \quad \lambda_{3} \equiv \lambda_{1} . \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

The value of $\lambda_{3}$ for $\gamma=1.4$ is -1.918 , and some values of $\phi_{3}, \psi_{3}, \chi_{3}$ are also given in table 1.

| $x$ | $f_{0}(x)$ | $g_{0}(x)$ | $h_{0}(x)$ | $\phi_{3}(x)$ | $\psi_{3}(x)$ | $\chi_{3}(x)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.00 | 0.833 | 1.167 | 6.000 | -5.000 | -0.143 | -5.000 |
| 0.95 | 0.751 | 0.760 | 2.464 | -3.941 | 0.779 | 0.879 |
| 0.90 | 0.685 | 0.595 | 1.234 | -3.603 | 0.475 | 2.567 |
| 0.80 | 0.584 | 0.471 | 0.392 | -3.45 | -0.18 | 3.32 |
| 0.60 | 0.429 | 0.427 | 0.041 | - | -0.51 | - |
| 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.426 | 0.000 | -3.5 | -0.53 | 3.5 |

Table 1
We have now arrived at the fourth approximation to the solution, including the terms up to $z^{3}$. Since $U^{-2} \propto z^{3}(1+\ldots)$ by (38), this fourth approximation corresponds to the second approximation of the previous paper (1954); because, in the former case, the solution was expanded in powers of $y=(C / U)^{2}$, where $C$ denoted the velocity of sound in the uniform atmosphere. The fact that we can express the coefficient of $z^{3}$ in the present solution in terms of the coefficient of $y$ in the previous result is due to this reason. But it must be remarked that the errors involved in the two approximations are not the same, since the error in the present case is $O\left(z^{4}\right)$, while in the previous case it is $O\left(y^{2}\right)$, i.e. $O\left(z^{6}\right)$. Thus, to have the same error, we must proceed to the sixth approximation. The non-uniform pre-explosion state, caused by gravitation and expressed by the constant $A$, does not affect the terms in $z^{0}$ and $z^{3}$, but appears separately in the term of $z^{2}$ in the fourth approximation. In the case of $A=0$, equations (50) and (52) become homogeneous, and the term in $z^{2}$ vanishes as in the case of the term in $z$; the solution then reduces to that in the case of a uniform preexplosion state.

## 4. Solutions for $f_{2}, g_{2}, h_{2}, \delta_{2}$

We now solve equations (50) and (52) to the third approximation. The procedure is similar to that of the second approximation (the term in $y$ ) developed in the previous paper (1954). We put

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{2}=A^{2}\left(x-f_{0}\right) \phi_{2}, \quad g_{2}=A^{2} g_{0} \psi_{2}, \quad h_{2}=A^{2} h_{0} \chi_{2}, \quad \alpha_{2}=A^{2} \sigma_{2} \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that (50) and (52) are reduced to

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\left(x-f_{0}\right) \phi_{2}^{\prime}+\frac{g_{0}}{\gamma h_{0}\left(x-f_{0}\right)} \psi_{2}^{\prime} \\
& \quad=\left(\frac{1}{2}-2 f_{0}^{\prime}\right) \phi_{2}+\frac{g_{0}^{\prime}}{\gamma h_{0}\left(x-f_{0}\right)}\left(\chi_{2}-\psi_{2}\right)+\frac{f_{0}}{x-f_{0}}\left(\sigma_{2}-1\right) \tag{59}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
\left(x-f_{0}\right)\left(-\phi_{2}^{\prime}+\chi_{2}^{\prime}\right)=3 \phi_{2}+2 \chi_{2}-2, \\
\left(x-f_{0}\right)\left(-\gamma \phi_{2}^{\prime}+\psi_{2}^{\prime}\right)=3(\gamma-1) \phi_{2}+2 \psi_{2}-2 \sigma_{2}, \\
i_{2}^{\prime}=3 A^{2} h_{0} x^{2}\left(\chi_{2}-\frac{2}{5}\right), \\
\phi_{2}(1)=\psi_{2}(1)=\chi_{2}(1)=i_{2}(1)=0, \\
\sigma_{2} J_{0}=\int_{0}^{1}\left\{\gamma f_{0} h_{0}\left(x-f_{0}\right) \phi_{2}+\frac{g_{0}}{\gamma-1} \psi_{2}+\frac{\gamma}{2} f_{0}^{2} h_{0} \chi_{2}\right\} x^{2} d x,  \tag{64}\\
\delta_{2}=\frac{3}{2} A^{2}\left(\delta_{2}-1\right),
\end{array}\right\}
$$

where we have used (40). Since $i_{2}$ appears only in (62), we can exclude it. The problem is then to solve the system of differential equations (59), (60) and (61) for $\phi_{2}, \psi_{2}, \chi_{2}$ with the boundary conditions (63), and to put this solution, which includes $\sigma_{2}$, into equation (64) and determine $\sigma_{2}$. It is remarkable that $A$ has no role in this process.

Just as in the previous papers (1953, 1954), we have an intermediate integral from equations (60) and (61). Let us perform the operation $(5 \gamma-3) \times(60)-5 \times(61)$; we have

$$
3 \phi_{2}^{\prime}-5 \psi_{2}^{\prime}+(5 \gamma-3) \chi_{2}^{\prime}=2\left\{3 \phi_{2}-5 \psi_{2}+(5 \gamma-3) \chi_{2}+5 \sigma_{2}-5 \gamma+3\right\} .
$$

Integrating this and inserting the condition (63) to determine the integration constant, we obtain the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
3 \phi_{2}-5 \psi_{2}+(5 \gamma-3) \chi_{2}+5 \sigma_{2}-5 \gamma+3=\left(5 \sigma_{2}-5 \gamma+3\right) \exp \left(\int_{1}^{x} \frac{2 d x}{x-f_{0}}\right) . \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eliminating $\phi_{2}^{\prime}$ from (59) and (61), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{2}^{\prime}=a \phi_{2}+b \psi_{2}+c \chi_{2}+e \sigma_{2}+d, \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
a & =-\left(2 f_{0}^{\prime}-\frac{1}{2}+3 \frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma}\right) f, & d & =-\frac{f_{0}}{x-f_{0}} f, \\
b & =-\left(f_{0}^{\prime}+\frac{3}{2} \frac{f_{0}}{x-f_{0}}+\frac{2}{\gamma}\right) f, & e=\left(\frac{f_{0}}{x-f_{0}}+\frac{2}{\gamma}\right) f, \\
c & =\left(f_{0}^{\prime}+\frac{3}{2} \frac{f_{0}}{x-f_{0}}\right) f, & f & =\gamma \frac{x-f_{0}}{g_{0}} h_{0}\left\{1-\frac{\left(x-f_{0}\right)^{2}}{g_{0}} h_{0}\right\}^{-1} .
\end{array}
$$

Now we split up $\phi_{2}, \psi_{2}, \chi_{2}$ into two parts

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{2}=\phi_{21}+\sigma_{2} \phi_{22}, \quad \psi_{2}=\psi_{21}+\sigma_{2} \psi_{22}, \quad \chi_{2}=\chi_{21}+\sigma_{2} \chi_{22}, \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

and substitute these in (66), (61), (65), (63), (64). The equations split up into two independent systems for $\phi_{21}, \psi_{21}, \chi_{21}$ and $\phi_{22}, \psi_{22}, \chi_{22}$ respectively, viz.
with

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\psi_{21}^{\prime}=a \phi_{21}+b \psi_{21}+c \chi_{21}+d,  \tag{68}\\
\phi_{21}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{\gamma}\left[\psi_{21}^{\prime}-\frac{1}{x-f_{0}}\left\{3(\gamma-1) \phi_{21}+2 \psi_{21}\right\}\right] \\
\chi_{21}=\frac{-1}{5 \gamma-3}\left(3 \phi_{21}-5 \psi_{21}\right)+1-\exp \left(\int_{1}^{x} \frac{2 d x}{x-f_{0}}\right) \\
\quad \phi_{21}(1)=\psi_{21}(1)=\chi_{21}(1)=0,
\end{array}\right\}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \psi_{22}^{\prime}=a \phi_{22}+b \psi_{22}+c \chi_{22}+c, \\
& \phi_{22}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{\gamma}\left[\psi_{22}^{\prime}-\frac{1}{x-f_{0}}\left\{3(\gamma-1) \phi_{22}+2 \psi_{22}-2\right\}\right] \\
& \chi_{22}=\frac{1}{5 \gamma-3}\left\{5 \exp \left(\int_{1}^{x} \frac{2 d x}{x-f_{0}}\right)-5-3 \phi_{22}+5 \psi_{22}\right\} \tag{69}
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{22}(1)=\psi_{22}(1)=\chi_{22}(1)=0 . \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover $\quad \sigma_{2} J_{0}=J_{1}+\sigma_{2} J_{2}, \quad$ or $\quad \sigma_{2}=J_{1} /\left(J_{0}-J_{2}\right)$, where $J_{1}=\int_{0}^{1}\left\{\gamma f_{0} h_{0}\left(x-f_{0}\right) \phi_{21}+\frac{g_{0}}{\gamma-1} \psi_{21}+\frac{\gamma}{2} f_{0}^{2} h_{0} \chi_{21}\right\} x^{2} d x$,

$$
J_{2}=\int_{0}^{1}\left\{\gamma f_{0} h_{0}\left(x-f_{0}\right) \phi_{22}+\frac{g_{0}}{\gamma-1} \psi_{22}+\frac{\gamma}{2} f_{0}^{2} h_{0} \chi_{22}\right\} x^{2} d x
$$

For $\gamma=1 \cdot 4,(68)$ and (69) were integrated numerically from $x=1$ with the initial values $\phi_{21}=\psi_{21}=\chi_{21}=\phi_{22}=\psi_{22}=\chi_{22}=0$. From $x=1$ to $x=0.96$, the Runge-Kutta method was used, taking the steps of the numerical integration as $0 \cdot 02$. In the remaining part of the range, the Levy method was applied, again with a step of 0.02 . Inserting these values of $\phi_{21}, \psi_{21}$, $\chi_{21} ; \phi_{22}, \psi_{22}, \chi_{22}$ into (70), we get the value

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{2}=0.182, \quad \text { with } \quad J_{0}=0.596, \quad \lambda_{3}=-1.918 \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 2. The solutions $\phi_{2}, \psi_{2}, \chi_{2}$ for $\gamma=1 \cdot 4$.

Using this value of $\sigma_{2}, \phi_{2}, \psi_{2}, \chi_{2}$ were determined finally by (67); they are tabulated in table 2, and are shown in figure 2.

| $x$ | $\phi_{2}(x)$ | $\psi_{2}(x)$ | $\chi_{2}(x)$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 0.98 | 0.037 | 0.081 | 0.232 |
| 0.96 | 0.061 | 0.124 | 0.386 |
| 0.94 | 0.076 | 0.146 | 0.495 |
| 0.92 | 0.086 | 0.157 | 0.572 |
| 0.90 | 0.091 | 0.159 | 0.629 |
| 0.88 | 0.094 | 0.158 | 0.671 |
| 0.86 | 0.097 | 0.155 | 0.704 |
| 0.84 | 0.097 | 0.151 | 0.730 |
| 0.82 | 0.098 | 0.147 | 0.752 |
| 0.80 | 0.098 | 0.143 | 0.764 |
| 0.78 | 0.10 | 0.14 | 0.78 |
| 0.76 | 0.10 | 0.14 | 0.80 |
| 0.74 | 0.10 | 0.14 | 0.81 |
| 0.72 |  | 0.13 | 0.81 |
| 0.70 |  | 0.13 | 0.82 |
| 0.68 |  | 0.13 | 0.82 |
| 0.66 |  |  | 0.82 |

Table 2

## 5. Velocity-distance curves and time-distance curves

Inserting (49), (55), (57) and (58) in equation (38), we get

$$
\left(\frac{C_{0}}{U}\right)^{2}=J_{0} z^{3}\left\{1+\left(\sigma_{2}-1\right) A^{2} z^{2}+J_{0} \lambda_{3} z^{3}+\ldots\right\}
$$

By use of the values $J_{0}, \sigma_{2}, \lambda_{3}$ given in (71), and with $R / R_{0}$ in place of $z$, this equation becomes, for $\gamma=1 \cdot 4$,
or

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\frac{C_{0}}{U}\right)^{2} & =0.596\left(\frac{R}{R_{0}}\right)^{3}\left\{1-0.82 A^{2}\left(\frac{R}{R_{0}}\right)^{2}-1.14\left(\frac{R}{R_{0}}\right)^{3} \ldots\right\} \\
\frac{U}{C_{0}} & =1.30\left(\frac{R}{R_{0}}\right)^{-3 / 2}\left\{1+0.41 A^{2}\left(\frac{R}{R_{0}}\right)^{2}+0.57\left(\frac{R}{R_{0}}\right)^{3} \ldots\right\} . \tag{72}
\end{align*}
$$

Velocity-distance curves ( $U-R$ curves) given by (72) are shown in figure 3 for $A^{2}=0,1,2,5$ and 10 .

We can see in figure 3 that $A$ has the effect of preventing $U$ from decreasing, and this effect increases with larger $A$ as expected. It is interesting to consider $U / C$, the strength of the shock wave, which is obtained by multiplication of (72) and (30). In the case of $\gamma=1 \cdot 4$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \quad\left(\frac{C}{U}\right)^{2}=\left(\frac{C_{0}}{U}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{C}{C_{0}}\right)^{2}=0.596\left(\frac{R}{R_{0}}\right)^{3}\left\{1-1.22 A^{2}\left(\frac{R}{R_{0}}\right)^{2}-1 \cdot 14\left(\frac{R}{R_{0}}\right)^{3} \ldots\right\}, \\
& \text { or } \quad \frac{U}{C}=1 \cdot 30\left(\frac{R}{R_{0}}\right)^{-3 / 2}\left\{1+0.61 A^{2}\left(\frac{R}{R_{0}}\right)^{2}+0.57\left(\frac{R}{R_{0}}\right)^{3} \ldots\right\}, \\
& \text { F.M. } \tag{73}
\end{align*}
$$

from which we can see that $A$ is more effective in maintaining the strength of the shock wave.

Using the expressions (73) and (12), we can deduce relations between the radius of the shock front $R$ and quantities such as pressure and density at the shock front. To obtain the most interesting of these relations, we consider the temperature $T$ at the shock front; we have

$$
\frac{T}{T^{\prime}}=\frac{p}{p^{\prime}} \frac{\rho^{\prime}}{\rho}=\frac{2 \gamma(\gamma-1)}{(\gamma+1)^{2}}\left(\frac{U}{C}\right)^{2}\left\{1+\left(\frac{2}{\gamma-1}-\frac{\gamma-1}{2 \gamma}\right)\left(\frac{C}{U}\right)^{2}+\ldots\right\}
$$

where $T^{\prime}$ denotes the value in the equilibrium state. Since $T^{\prime} / T_{0}=\left(C / C_{0}\right)^{2}$, where $T_{0}$ denotes the value of $T^{\prime}$ at the centre, we have finally

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{T}{T_{0}}=0.326\left(\frac{R}{R_{0}}\right)^{-3}\left\{1+0.82 A^{2}\left(\frac{R}{R_{0}}\right)^{2}+4.04\left(\frac{R}{R_{0}}\right)^{3}+\ldots\right\} . \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 3. Velocity-distance curves for $A^{2}=0,1,2,5$ and 10 .

In this case, $A$ does not have so much effect. The distance--time relation can be obtained from an integration of (72) with use of the relation (11). This gives

$$
C_{0} d t=\frac{1}{1.30}\left(\frac{R}{R_{0}}\right)^{3 / 2}\left\{1-0.41 A^{2}\left(\frac{R}{R_{0}}\right)^{2}-0.57\left(\frac{R}{R_{0}}\right)^{3} \ldots\right\} d R
$$

and we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{C_{0} t}{R_{0}}=0.308\left(\frac{R}{R_{0}}\right)^{5 / 2}\left\{1-0.23 A^{2}\left(\frac{R}{R_{0}}\right)^{2}-0.27\left(\frac{R}{R_{0}}\right)^{3} \ldots\right\} . \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation is represented in figure 4 for $A^{2}=0,1,2,5$ and 10 .


Figure 4. Time-distance curves for $A^{2}=0,1,2,5$ and 10.
If we combine (75) and (74), we can get a relation between the temperature $T$ and the time $t$.
6. Distribution of velocity, density and temperature

The velocity $u$, pressure $p$, density $\rho$ and temperature $\theta$ behind the shock front are given by (16), (31), (27), (28), (72), (73), (49), (55) and (58), that is,

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\frac{u}{C_{0}} & =\frac{U}{C_{0}}\left\{f_{0}+\left(x-f_{0}\right)\left(A^{2} z^{2} \phi_{2}+0 \cdot 596 z^{3} \phi_{3} \ldots\right)\right\}, \\
\frac{U}{C_{0}} & =1 \cdot 30 z^{-3 / 2}\left(1+0 \cdot 41 A^{2} z^{2}+0 \cdot 57 z^{3}+\ldots\right), \\
\frac{p}{p_{6}} & =P\left(\frac{U}{C}\right)^{2} g_{0}\left\{1+A^{2} z^{2} \psi_{2}+0 \cdot 596 z^{3} \psi_{3}+\ldots\right\}, \\
P & =1-1 \cdot 4 A^{2} z^{2}+\ldots, \\
\left(\frac{U}{C}\right)^{2} & =1 \cdot 68 z^{-3}\left\{1+1 \cdot 22 A^{2} z^{2}+1 \cdot 14 z^{3}+\ldots\right\}, \\
\frac{\rho}{\rho_{0}} & =D h_{0}\left\{1+A^{2} z^{2} \chi_{2}+0 \cdot 596 z^{3} \chi_{3}+\ldots\right\}, \\
D & =1-A^{2} z^{2} \ldots,  \tag{79}\\
\frac{\theta}{T_{0}} & =\frac{p}{p_{0}} \times \frac{\rho_{0}}{\rho} .
\end{array}\right\}
$$

A special interest attaches to the variation of $\rho$ and $p$ with time, as shown in figures 5 and 6 for the case of $A^{2}=2$. In figure 5 distributions of $\rho / \rho_{0}$ when $z=R / R_{0}=0 \cdot 1,0 \cdot 3,0 \cdot 5$, which correspond to $C_{0} t / R_{0}=0 \cdot 001,0 \cdot 014$, 0.046 respectively, are shown with the initial pre-explosion distribution $D=\rho^{\prime} / \rho_{0}=1-2 z^{2}$. We can see that $\rho / \rho_{0}$ increases to several times the initial value $D$ at the shock front, and decreases to zero toward the centre. This decrease is so rapid that there is always a region of almost vanishing density near the centre, and this region is also expanding with time. The same kind of distributions of $z^{3} p / p_{0}$ for the same values of $z$ and the initial distribution $P=p^{\prime} / p_{0}=1-2 \cdot 8 z^{2}$ are shown in figure 6. In this case, the increase of $p / p_{0}$ from $P$ at the shock front is very large, especially for small $z$,


Figure 5. Distributions of density for $A^{2}=2$ at various stages. Curve 1, initial preexplosion distribution $D$; curve 2 , distribution of $\rho / \rho_{0}$ at $z=R / R_{0}=0.1$; curve 3 , distribution of $\rho / \rho_{0}$ at $z=R / R_{\mathbf{0}}=0 \cdot 3$; curve 4 , distribution of $\rho / \rho_{0}$ at $z=R / R_{0}=0.5$.


Figure 6. Distributions of pressure for $A^{2}=2$ at various stages. Curve 1 , initial preexplosion distribution $P$; curve 2 , distribution of $z^{3} p / p_{0}$ at $z=R / R_{0}=0 \cdot 1$; curve 3 , distribution of $z^{3} p / p_{0}$ at $z=R / R_{0}=0.3$; curve 4, distribution of $z^{3} p / p_{0}$ at $z=R / R_{0}=0.5$,
and is about two thousand for $z=0 \cdot 1$. The value of $z^{2} p / p_{0}$ is shown in the figure, though $P$ is depicted without change. Corresponding to the region of vanishingly small density, we now have the constant pressure region of about the same size. This value of constant pressure changes rapidly with $z$, being roughly proportional to $z^{-3}$ or $(U / C)^{-2}$. The distribution of temperature, which can be obtained from (79), using the above values of $p / p_{0}$ and $\rho / \rho_{0}$, then has a region of almost infinite temperature corresponding to the above regions.
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